



#### CALIFORNIA STATE PERSONNEL BOARD MEETING

801 Capitol Mall Sacramento, California

<u>Public Session Location</u> – 801 Capitol Mall Sacramento, California, Room 150 Teleconference – 320 West 4<sup>th</sup> Street Los Angeles, California, Suite 620

<u>Closed Session Location</u> – 801 Capitol Mall Sacramento, California, Room 141 Teleconference – 320 West 4<sup>th</sup> Street Los Angeles, California Suite 620

**SUMMARY MINUTES – OCTOBER 18, 2005** 

# MID MONTH SUMMARY MINUTES<sup>1</sup>

# **OCTOBER 18, 2005**

#### PUBLIC SESSION OF THE STATE PERSONNEL BOARD

#### 1. ROLL CALL

William Elkins, President Maeley Tom, Vice President Sean Harrigan, Member

# 2. REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER – Floyd D. Shimomura

- **A.** AB 124 was signed by the Governor on October 7, 2005. AB 124 conformed California's EEO statute to the requirement of Proposition 209.
- **B.** With the consent of Cabinet, Finance has permitted the SPB and DPA to submit three joint BCPs: relating to workforce planning, classification reform, and a joint Internet portal.
- **C.** On October 21, 2005, the Executive Officer will be in Chicago to receive an award from the National Asian Pacific American Bar Association.

#### 3. REPORT OF THE CHIEF COUNSEL – Elise Rose

Senior Counsel, Dorothy Egel gave the report in absence of Elise Rose.

# Litigation

- <u>Carl Webb v. SPB/CDC</u> The Fourth Appellate District has issued a decision upholding the Board's decision sustaining the dismissal of a prison chaplain.
- <u>County of San Diego v. State</u> Deposition of SPB Fiscal Officer taken.
   Motion for Summary Judgment is pending.
- <u>CDC v. SPB/Pamela Waters</u> SPB decision revoking demotion based upon improper service reversed and remanded by trial court. Notice of appeal has been filed.
- <u>SCIF v. SPB</u> Appeal from SPB decision disapproving personal services contract withdrawn.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Minutes for the Board can be obtained at the following internet address: http://www.spb.ca.gov/calendar.htm

- <u>CSEA v. Department of Education</u> Superior court denied preliminary injunction against state department's removal of partisan fliers from bulletin board.
- SPB v. DPA Awaiting decision from California Supreme Court.

#### **Other Matters**

Post and Bid – To be discussed at the November Board meeting.

#### 4. NEW BUSINESS

NONE

#### 5. REPORT ON LEGISLATION – Sherry Hicks

- **AB 124** Governor signed on Oct 7th. A press release was sent out through Mr. Dymally's office regarding this legislation.
- Preparing for a press conference in the near future.

#### **CLOSED SESSION OF THE STATE PERSONNEL BOARD**

# 6. DELIBERATION ON ADVERSE ACTIONS, DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS, AND OTHER PROPOSED DECISIONS SUBMITTED BY ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

Deliberations on matters submitted at prior hearing; on proposed, rejected, remanded, and submitted decisions; petitions for rehearing; and other matters related to cases heard by administrative law judges of the State Personnel Board or by the Board itself. [Government Code Sections 11126 (d), and 18653 (2).]

#### 7. PENDING LITIGATION

Conference with legal counsel to confer with and receive advice regarding pending litigation when discussion in open session would be prejudicial. [Government Code sections 11126(e)(1) and 18653.]

State Personnel Board v. Department of Personnel Administration, California Supreme Court Case No. S119498.

State Personnel Board v. California State Employees Association, California Supreme Court Case No. S122058.

Minutes – Page 4 October 18, 2005

Connerly v. State Personnel Board, California Supreme Court, Case No. S125502.

International Union of Operating Engineers v. State Personnel Board, Public Employment Relations Board (PERB) Case No. SA-CE-1295-S.

State Compensation Ins. Fund v. State Personnel Board/CSEA, Sacramento Superior Court No. 04CS00049.

SEIU Local 1000 (CSEA) v. State Personnel Board, Sacramento Superior Court No. 05CS00374.

The Copley Press, Inc. v. San Diego Superior Court, California Supreme Court No. S128603.

<u>Union of American Physicians and Dentists v. Department of Corrections, et al.,</u> United States District Court, Northern District of California.

#### 8. RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE LEGISLATURE

Deliberations on recommendations to the legislature. [Government Code section 18653.]

#### 9. RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE GOVERNOR

Deliberations on recommendations to the Governor. [Government Code section 18653.]

# PUBLIC SESSION OF THE STATE PERSONNEL BOARD

# 10. DISCUSSION OF COMING BOARD MEETING SCHEDULE OF NOVEMBER 1-2, 2005, IN SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

The November meeting has been changed to a one-day meeting to be held on November 1, 2005.

#### **BOARD ACTIONS:**

# 11. ADOPTION OF THE STATE PERSONNEL BOARD SUMMARY MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 20, 2005

**ACTION:** Adopted on October 18, 2005

VOTE: Elkins, Tom, Harrigan – Aye

**12. EVIDENTIARY CASES** - (See Case Listings on Page 8-14)

# 13. RESOLUTION EXTENDING TIME UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 18671.1 EXTENSION - (See Minutes Page 24-25)

**ACTION: ADOPTED** 

VOTE: Elkins, Tom, Harrigan – Aye

**14. NON-EVIDENTIARY CASES -** (See Case Listings on Page 15-21)

#### 15. NON-HEARING CALENDAR

Proposals would have been made to the State Personnel Board by either the Board staff or Department of Personnel Administration staff.

NONE

#### 16. STAFF CALENDAR ITEMS FOR BOARD INFORMATION

A. CALIFORNIA STATE TEACHERS RETIREMENT SYSTEM (CALSTRS) requests to update the current alternate range criteria broadening the experience required to move through the ranges from "public pension fund" experience to "equivalent analytical experience".

**ACTION: NOTED** 

# 17. CAREER EXECUTIVE ASSIGNMENT (CEA) CATEGORY ACTIVITY

This section served to inform interested individuals and departments of proposed and approved CEA position actions.

# A. REQUESTS TO ESTABLISH NEW OR REVISE EXISTING CEA POSITIONS CURRENTLY UNDER CONSIDERATION

# **DEPUTY CHIEF, BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR**

The Department of Consumer Affairs proposes to allocate the above position to the CEA category. The Deputy Chief, Bureau of Automotive Repairs is responsible for assuring the efficient daily operation of the organization and the delivery of program services to the consumers and licensees of the state of California.

#### **DIRECTOR, NON-TAX DEBT COLLECTION BUREAU**

The Department of Franchise Tax Board proposes to allocate the above position to the CEA category. The Director, Non-Tax Debt Collection

Bureau is responsible for the collection of obligations for other state, county, and local governmental agencies.

#### CHIEF, DIVISION OF PLAN SURVEYS

The Department of Managed Health Care proposes to allocate the above position to the CEA category. The Chief, Division of Plan Surveys is responsible for conducting on-site evaluations of all licensed health plans and issuing reports to the public concerning plan performance in the critical areas of health care accessibility, utilization management, health care quality management, and member grievances/appeals.

#### DEPUTY DIRECTOR, ENTERPRISE ARCHITECTURE

The Legislative Counsel Bureau proposes to allocate the above position to the CEA category. The Deputy Director, Enterprise Architecture is responsible managing a group of enterprise architects to run the Enterprise Architect Program.

#### DEPUTY DIRECTOR, ENTERPIRSE PROCESS CENTER

The Legislative Counsel Bureau proposes to allocate the above position to the CEA category. The Deputy Director, Enterprise Process Center is responsible for the enterprise standards and processes, quality control, and project management.

# DEPUTY DIRECTOR, LEGAL AND OTHER PUBLIC OFFICES DIVISION

The Legislative Counsel Bureau proposes to allocate the above position to the CEA category. The Deputy Director, Legal and Other Public Offices Division under the Customer Services Branch is responsible for IT services to support the business of the Legal Division and other public office customers of the LDC.

# CHIEF OF COMMUNICATIONS, OFFICE OF LEGISLATION AND COMMUNICATIONS

The Department of Developmental Services proposes to allocate the above position to the CEA category. The Chief of Communications is responsible for all aspects of media relations and public relations for the Department of Developmental Services.

# CHIEF OF LEGISLATION, OFFICE OF LEGISLATION AND COMMUNICATIONS

The Department of Developmental Services proposes to allocate the above position to the CEA category. The Chief of Legislation is responsible for developing broad policy and political strategies for the Department's legislative agenda.

# B. EXECUTIVE OFFICER DECISIONS REGARDING REQUESTS TO ESTABLISH NEW OR REVISE EXISTING CEA POSITIONS

#### SENIOR ALTERNATIVE ENERGY ADVISOR

The California Environmental Protection Agency's proposal to establish the above position to the CEA category has been approved effective September 16, 2005.

# **DEPUTY DIRECTOR, COMPLIANCE DIVISION**

The California Gambling Control Commission's proposal to establish the above position to the CEA category has been approved effective September 16, 2005, for a period of twenty-four months and is contingent upon abolishment of the Chief Deputy Director position.

#### PRINCIPAL DEPUTY LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL II

The Legislative Counsel Bureau's proposal to establish the above position to the CEA category has been approved effective September 20, 2005.

#### **ASSISTANT SECRETARY, CLIMATE CHANGE ACTIVITIES**

The California Environmental Protection Agency's proposal to establish the above position to the CEA category has been approved effective September 22, 2005.

**ACTION: NOTED** 

#### 18. EMPLOYEE APPOINTMENTS, DISCIPLINARY MATTERS, & OTHER APPEALS

Deliberations to consider matter submitted at prior hearing. [Government Code sections 11126(d), 18653.]

#### 19. PRESENTATION OF EMERGENCY ITEMS AS NECESSARY

NONE

#### **20. BOARD ACTIONS ON SUBMITTED ITEMS -** (See Minutes - Page 22-23)

These items have been taken under submission by the State Personnel Board at a prior meeting and may have been before the Board for a vote at this meeting. This list does not include evidentiary cases, as those cases are listed separately by category on these Minutes under Evidentiary Cases.

ADJOURNMENT

#### 12. EVIDENTIARY CASES

The Board Administrative Law Judges conduct evidentiary hearings in appeals that include, but are not limited to, adverse actions, medical terminations, demotions, discrimination, reasonable accommodations, and whistleblower complaints.

# A. BOARD CASES SUBMITTED

These items have been taken under submission by the State Personnel Board at a prior meeting.

# (1) PATRICK BARBER, CASE NO. 04-0174PA

Appeal from dismissal

**Classification:** Youth Correctional Counselor **Department:** Department of the Youth Authority

Proposed decision adopted November 3, 2004 modifying dismissal to 45 calendar days suspension Transcript prepared Pending oral argument June 7, 2005, Sacramento Oral argument continued Oral argument heard July 13, 2005, Sacramento Case ready for decision by FULL Board

#### **NO ACTION**

# (2) JON CHASE, CASE NO. 04-0392A

Appeal from 30 working days suspension

Classification: Associate Management Auditor

Department: Employment Development Department

Proposed decision rejected April 19, 2005
Transcript prepared
Pending oral argument July 13, 2005, Sacramento
Oral argument continued
Oral argument heard August 9, 2005, Sacramento
Case ready for decision by FULL Board

#### NO ACTION

Minutes – Page 9 October 18, 2005

# (4) JOSEPH MARTINEZ, CASE NO. 04- 2690A

Appeal from dismissal

Classification: Hospital Police Officer

Department: Department of Mental Health

Proposed decision rejected May 17, 2005 Transcript prepared Oral argument heard August 30, 2005, Los Angeles Case ready for decision by FULL Board

#### NO ACTION

# (6) ANDREW RUIZ, CASE NO. 04-2391A

Appeal from dismissal

Classification: Correctional Lieutenant Department: Department of Corrections

Proposed decision rejected June 7, 2005 Transcript prepared Oral argument heard August 30, 2005, Los Angeles Case ready for decision by FULL Board

#### NO ACTION

#### (7) MARK SAMORA, CASE NO. 04-3041A

Appeal from dismissal

**Classification:** Instructional Technology Consultant **Department:** California State University, Los Angeles

Proposed decision rejected July 13, 2005 Transcript prepared Oral argument heard October 3, 2005, Sacramento Case ready for decision by FULL Board

#### **NO ACTION**

# B. <u>CASES PENDING</u>

#### **ORAL ARGUMENTS**

These cases would have been on calendar to be argued at this meeting or to be considered by the Board in closed session based on written arguments submitted by the parties.

#### **NONE**

# C. CHIEF COUNSEL RESOLUTIONS

#### NONE

#### **COURT REMANDS**

These cases would have been remanded to the Board by the court for further Board action.

#### NONE

#### **STIPULATIONS**

These stipulations would have been submitted to the Board for Board approval, pursuant to Government Code, section 18681.

#### NONE

#### **OTHERS**

NONE

# D. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S (ALJ) PROPOSED DECISIONS

#### PROPOSED DECISIONS

These were ALJ proposed decisions submitted to the Board for the first time.

On October 18, 2005, the Board took the following action on the following cases presented by Dorothy Egel, Senior Counsel, California State Personnel Board in absence of Elise Rose, Chief Counsel.

VOTE: Elkins, Tom, Harrigan – Aye

# (1) MARCUS COTTON, CASE NO. 05-0880

Appeal from dismissal

Classification: Senior Medical Technical Assistant

**Department:** Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation **ACTION:** The Board has adopted the ALJ's Proposed Decision

granting the motion to dismiss.

# (2) STAN HESTER, CASE NO. 05-2074E

Appeal from discrimination complaint

**Classification:** Vocational Instructor, Building Maintenance **Department:** Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation **ACTION:** The Board has adopted the ALJ's Proposed Decision dismissing the complaint.

#### (3) GLEN D. HOWE, CASE NO. 05-0472

Appeal from ten percent reduction in salary for six months **Classification:** Tree Maintenance Worker, Caltrans

**Department:** Department of Transportation

**ACTION:** The Board has adopted the ALJ's Proposed Decision sustaining the ten percent reduction in salary for six months.

# (4) BETTY KING, CASE NO. 05-1161

Appeal from dismissal

Classification: Registered Nurse (Correctional Facility)

Department: Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

ACTION: The Board has adopted the ALJ's Proposed Decision

modifying the dismissal to a six month suspension.

# (5) SEBASTIAN PEREZ, CASE NO. 05-1325

Appeal from dismissal

Classification: Correctional Officer

**Department:** Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation **ACTION:** The Board has adopted the ALJ's Proposed Decision

modifying the dismissal to a 12 month suspension.

#### (6) RICHARD WILLIAMS, CASE NO. 05-0632

Appeal from dismissal Classification: Custodian

**Department:** Department of General Services

**ACTION:** The Board has adopted the ALJ's Proposed Decision

sustaining the dismissal.

#### **Proposed Decisions Taken Under Submission At Prior Meeting**

These would have been ALJ Proposed Decisions taken under submission at a prior Board meeting, for lack of majority vote or other reason.

#### **NONE**

#### PROPOSED DECISIONS AFTER BOARD REMAND

**NONE** 

# PROPOSED DECISIONS AFTER SPB ARBITRATION

**NONE** 

#### E. PETITIONS FOR REHEARING

#### ALJ PROPOSED DECISIONS ADOPTED BY THE BOARD

The Board voted to grant or deny a petition for rehearing filed by one or both parties, regarding a case already decided by the Board.

On October 18, 2005, the Board took the following action on the following cases presented by Dorothy Egel, Senior Counsel, California State Personnel Board in absence of Elise Rose, Chief Counsel.

VOTE: Elkins, Tom, Harrigan – Aye

# (1) MARCIE CHURCO, CASE NO. 04-2347P

Appeal from rejection during probation Classification: Office Assistant (Typing)

**Department:** California Unemployment Insurance Appeals Board

**ACTION:** Petition for rehearing by appellant denied.

#### (2) STANLEY ELCOCK, CASE NO. 05-0845P

Appeal from dismissal of appeal for lack of jurisdiction Classification: Associate Transportation Engineer, Caltrans Department: Department of Transportation ACTION: Petition for rehearing by appellant denied.

# (3) **GERALD RAX, CASE NO. 04-2380P**

Appeal from ten percent reduction in salary for ten months Classification: Employment Program Representative Department: Employment Development Department ACTION: Petition for rehearing by appellant denied.

# WHISTLEBLOWER NOTICE OF FINDINGS

The Board would have voted to grant or deny a petition for rehearing filed by one or both parties, regarding a Notice of Findings issued by the Executive Officer under Government Code, section 19682 et seq. and Title 2, California Code of Regulations, section 56 et seq.

#### NONE

# F. PENDING BOARD REVIEW

These cases are pending preparation of transcripts, briefs, or the setting of oral argument before the Board.

#### (1) PATRICK BRASS, CASE NO. 04-1952A

Appeal from dismissal

Classification: Youth Correctional Counselor **Department:** Department of the Youth Authority

Proposed decision rejected July 26, 2005 Transcript prepared Pending oral argument November 1, 2005, San Diego

#### NO ACTION

#### (2) LINDA DEOS, CASE NO. 05-0434A

Appeal from dismissal

Classification: Staff Counsel III (Specialist)

**Department:** Employment Development Department

Proposed decision rejected August 30, 2005 Transcript prepared Pending oral argument December 6-7, 2005, San Francisco

#### NO ACTION

Minutes – Page 14 October 18, 2005

# (3) GARY GARFINKEL, CASE NO. 98-3128RBA

Appeal for determination of back salary, benefits and interest

Classification: Deputy Attorney General IV

**Department:** Department of Justice

Proposed decision rejected July 13, 2005
Transcript prepared
Pending oral argument October 3, 2005, Sacramento
Oral argument continued
Pending oral argument November 1, 2005, San Diego

#### NO ACTION

# (4) MINAS MAROKI, CASE NO. 04- 2700A

Appeal from dismissal

Classification: Correctional Officer

Department: Department of Corrections

Proposed decision rejected August 9, 2005 Transcript prepared Pending oral argument November 1-2, 2005, San Diego

#### NO ACTION

#### (5) KIM RITTENHOUSE, CASE Nos. 03-3541A & 03-3542E

Appeal from denial of reasonable accommodation and from constructive medical termination

Classification: Office Technician (General)

Department: Department of Fish and Game

Proposed decision rejected May 18, 2004
Pending oral argument October 3, 2005, Sacramento
Oral argument continued
Pending oral argument December 6-7, 2005, San Francisco

#### NO ACTION

#### 14. NON-EVIDENTIARY CASES

#### A. <u>WITHHOLD APPEALS</u>

Cases heard by a Staff Hearing Officer, a managerial staff member of the State Personnel Board or investigated by Appeals Division staff.

# WITHHOLD FROM CERTIFICATION CASES HEARD BY A STAFF HEARING OFFICER

#### NONE

# WITHHOLD FROM CERTIFICATION CASES NOT HEARD BY A STAFF HEARING OFFICER

On October 18, 2005, the Board adopted as indicated below the following decisions presented by Laura M. Aguilera, Assistant Executive Officer, California State Personnel Board.

VOTE: Elkins, Tom, Harrigan – Aye

#### (1) RUDY ARZATE-RODRIGUEZ, CASE NO. 05-0423

Classification: Correctional Officer

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** Suitability; omitted pertinent information, arrest/conviction

record and negative law enforcement contacts.

**ACTION: DENIED** 

# (2) BRANDON AUSTIN, CASE NO. 05-0420

Classification: California Highway Patrol Cadet

**Department:** California Highway Patrol

Issue: Suitability; furnishing inaccurate information and failing to

meet legal obligations. **ACTION: DENIED** 

# (3) CHRISTOPHER COOPER, CASE NO. 05-0419

Classification: Correctional Officer

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** Suitability; omitted pertinent information, furnished inaccurate information and had a negative employment record.

**ACTION: DENIED** 

# Minutes – Page 16 October 18, 2005

# (4) EUGENE CURTIS, CASE NO. 05-0412

Classification: Medical Technical Assistant

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** Suitability; omitted pertinent information and furnished

inaccurate information. **ACTION: DENIED** 

#### (5) BRENDA DANIEL, CASE NO. 05-0591

Classification: Medical Technical Assistant

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** Suitability; omitted pertinent information, furnished inaccurate information and had a negative employment record. **ACTION:** SPB staff has withdrawn this item from the Calendar.

Case was not voted on.

#### (6) NICOLIA FLEMING, CASE NO. 05-0418

Classification: Correctional Officer

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** Suitability; omitted pertinent information and had a negative

employment record. **ACTION: DENIED** 

# (7) BURKE GILLIS, CASE NO. 05-0271

Classification: Correctional Officer

**Department:** Corrections

Issue: Suitability; omitted pertinent information and furnished

inaccurate information. **ACTION: DENIED** 

# (8) OSWALDO GRADILLAS, CASE NO. 05-0409

Classification: Correctional Officer

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** Suitability and a negative employment record.

**ACTION: DENIED** 

#### (9) CECILIA HARTJE, CASE NO. 05-0689

Classification: Medical Technical Assistant

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** Suitability: omitted pertinent information and furnished

inaccurate information. **ACTION: DENIED** 

#### (10) JENNIFER HERNANDEZ, CASE NO. 05-0421

Classification: Correctional Officer

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** Suitability; admitted history of non-prosecuted shoplifting

over the course of a two-year span ending in 1998.

**ACTION: GRANTED** 

#### (11) JADI HOWARD, CASE NO. 05-0506

Classification: Youth Correctional Counselor

**Department:** Youth Authority

**Issue:** Suitability; omitted pertinent information, had negative law enforcement contacts, a negative driving record and failure to

comply with legal obligations.

**ACTION: DENIED** 

#### (12) CHARLENE JEFFERSON, CASE NO. 05-0363

Classification: Correctional Officer

**Department:** Corrections

Issue: Suitability and failing to meet legal obligations.

**ACTION: DENIED** 

#### (13) JOSH KAPPL, CASE NO. 05-0408

Classification: Correctional Officer

**Department:** Corrections

Issue: Suitability; furnished inaccurate information and had

negative driving record. **ACTION: DENIED** 

#### (14) DENNIS KROMANN, CASE NO. 05-0399

Classification: Medical Technical Assistant

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** Suitability; omitted pertinent information, furnished inaccurate information and had a negative employment record.

**ACTION: DENIED** 

#### (15) DAVID LIN, CASE NO. 05-0414

Classification: CHP Cadet

**Department:** California Highway Patrol

**Issue:** Suitability; furnished inaccurate information, omitted pertinent information and had a negative employment record.

**ACTION: DENIED** 

# (16) RHONDA MARTINHO, CASE NO. 04-1246

Classification: Medical Technical Assistant (CF)

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** Suitability; provided inaccurate and omitted pertinent

information.

**ACTION: DENIED** 

#### (17) PERRY MOORE, CASE NO. 05-0568

Classification: Youth Correctional Officer

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** Suitability; non-disclosure of information.

**ACTION: DENIED** 

#### (18) MITCHELL ROMERO, CASE NO. 05-1162

**Classification:** Medical Technical Assistant (MTA)

**Department:** Corrections

Issue: Suitability; Negative employment record and furnished

inaccurate information. **ACTION: DENIED** 

#### (19) DEREK LEE VAUGHN, CASE NO. 05-0272

Classification: Correctional Officer

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** Suitability; furnished inaccurate information, had negative law enforcement contacts, and negative employment record.

**ACTION: DENIED** 

#### (20) AMBER YTURRIAGA, CASE NO. 05-0285

Classification: Correctional Officer

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** Suitability; Omitted pertinent information; Negative

employment record. **ACTION: DENIED** 

#### B. MEDICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL SCREENING APPEALS

Cases would have been heard by a Staff Hearing Panel comprised of a managerial staff member of the State Personnel Board and a medical professional. The Board would have been presented recommendations by a Hearing Panel on each appeal.

#### **NONE**

# C. EXAMINATION APPEALS MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS MERIT ISSUE COMPLAINTS

Cases would have been heard by a Staff Hearing Officer, a managerial staff member of the State Personnel Board or investigated by Appeals Division staff. The Board would have been presented recommendations by a Staff Hearing Officer or Appeals Division staff for final decision on each appeal.

# **EXAMINATION APPEALS**

**NONE** 

**MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS** 

NONE

**MERIT ISSUE COMPLAINTS** 

**NONE** 

# D. RULE 211 APPEALS RULE 212 OUT OF CLASS APPEALS VOIDED APPOINTMENT APPEALS

Cases would have been heard by a Staff Hearing Officer, or a managerial staff member of the State Personnel Board. The Board would have been presented recommendations by a Staff Hearing Officer for final decision on each appeal.

**NONE** 

# E. REQUEST TO FILE CHARGES CASES

Investigated by Appeals Division staff.

On October 18, 2005, the Board adopted as indicated below the following decisions presented by Laura M. Aguilera, Assistant Executive Officer, California State Personnel Board.

VOTE: Elkins, Tom, Harrigan – Aye

#### (1) SANTA ARRIAGA, CASE NO. 04-2643

Classification: Correctional Officer

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** The charging party requests charges be filed against the charged party for violations of various subsections of Government

Code section 19572. **ACTION: DENIED** 

#### (2) ARVIND BALU, CASE NO. 04-1425

Classification: Civilly committed offender at ASH

**Department:** Mental Health

**Issue:** The charging party requests charges be filed against the charged parties for violations of various subsections of Government

Code section 19572. **ACTION: DENIED** 

#### (3) BYRON DARRINGTON, CASE NO. 04-2585

Classification: Battalion Chief

**Department:** Forestry and Fire Protection

**Issue:** The charging party requests to file charges against a

supervisor at the CDF ACTION: DENIED

# (4) TERRY AND HOLLY FERNANDES, CASE NO. 03-1835

Classification: N/A – Members of the Public

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** The charging parties request charges be filed against the charged parties for violations of various subsections of Government

Code section 19572. **ACTION: DENIED** 

# (5) KEVIN GIBBONS, CASE NO. 04-0964

Classification: Correctional Sergeant

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** The charging party request charges be filed against the charged parties for violation of various sections of Government Code

19572.

**ACTION: DENIED** 

#### (6) SUSAN HEFFERON, CASE NO. 04-1331

Classification: Correctional Officer

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** The charging party requests charges be filed against the charged party for violation of various sections of Government Code

19572.

**ACTION: DENIED** 

# (7) WILLIAM JOHNSON, CASE NO. 04-0638

Classification: Parole Agent II, Adult Parole (Specialist)

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** The charging party requests charges be filed against the charged party for violations of various subsections of Government

Code section 19572. **ACTION: DENIED** 

#### (8) LEE R. ROGERS, CASE NOS. 04-0647 & 04-1344

Classification: Correctional Officer

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** The charging party requests charges be filed against the charged party for violations of various subsections of Government

Code section 19572. **ACTION: DENIED** 

#### (9) KURT THOMAS, CASE NO. 04-0527

Classification: Correctional Officer

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** The charging party requests charges be filed against the charged parties for violations of various subsections of Government

Code section 19572. **ACTION: DENIED** 

#### PETITIONS FOR REHEARING CASES

**NONE** 

#### **SUBMITTED**

# 1. TEACHER STATE HOSPITAL (SEVERELY), ETC.

Departments of Mental Health and Developmental Services. (Hearing held December 3, 2002.)

**NO ACTION** 

# 2. VOCATIONAL INSTRUCTOR (SAFETY)(VARIOUS SPECIALTIES)

Departments of Mental Health and Developmental Services. (Hearing held December 3, 2002.)

**NO ACTION** 

# 3. TELEVISION SPECIALIST (SAFETY)

The Department of Corrections proposes to establish the new classification Television Specialist (Safety) by using the existing Television Specialist class specification and adding "Safety" as a parenthetical to recognize the public aspect of their job, additional language will be added to the Typical Tasks section of the class specification and a Special Physical Characteristics section will be added. (Presented to Board March 4, 2003.)

**NO ACTION** 

#### 4. HEARING – Personal Services Contract #04-03

Appeal of the California State Employees Association from the Executive Officer's April 15, 2004, Approval of Master Contracts between the California Department of Corrections and Staffing Solutions, CliniStaff, Inc., Staff USA, Inc., CareerStaff Unlimited, MSI International, Inc., Access Medical Staffing & Service, Drug Consultants, Infinity Quality Services Corporation, Licensed Medical Staffing, Inc., Morgan Management Services, Inc., Asereth Medical Services, and PrideStaff dba Rx Relief. (Hearing held August 12, 2004.)

NO ACTION

#### 5. HEARING

Proposed new and revised State Personnel Board Regulations effecting equal opportunity, discrimination complaints and reasonable accommodation policies and procedures. (Hearing held July 7, 2004.)

**NO ACTION** 

#### 6. PATRICK BARBER, CASE NO. 04-0174A

Appeal from dismissal. Youth Correctional Officer. Department of Youth Authority. (Oral Argument held July 13, 2005)

NO ACTION

#### 7. JON CHASE, CASE NO. 04-0392A

Appeal from 30 working days suspension. Associate Management Auditor. Employment Development Department. (Oral Argument held August 9, 2005) **NO ACTION** 

#### 8. HEARING – PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT #05-07

Appeal of Department of General Services (DGS) from the Executive Officer's April 22, 2005 Disapproval of a Proposed Three-Year Cost-Savings Contract with American Building Maintenance janitorial Services for Custodial Services for the Franchise Tax Board. (Hearing held August 9, 2005)

NO ACTION

# 9. JOSEPH MARTINEZ, CASE NO. 04-2690A

Appeal from dismissal. Hospital Police Officer. Department of Mental Health. (Oral Argument held August 30, 2005)

**NO ACTION** 

# 10. ANDREW RUIZ, CASE NO. 04-2391A

Appeal from dismissal. Correctional Lieutenant. Department of Corrections. (Oral Argument held August 30, 2005)

**NO ACTION** 

# 11. MARK SAMORA, CASE NO. 04-3041A

Appeal from dismissal. Instructional Technology Consultant. California State University, Los Angeles. (Oral Argument held October 3, 2005) **NO ACTION** 

#### 12. HEARING – PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT #05-04

Appeal of the California Attorneys, Administrative Law Judges and Hearing Officers in State Employment (CASE) from the Executive Officer's April 1, 2005 Approval of a Contract for Legal Services between the Secretary of State's Office and Renne & Holtzman Public Law Group, LLP (Oral Argument held on October 3, 2005)

NO ACTION

#### 13. HEARING

Proposed Revisions to Whistleblower Retaliation Complaint Regulations (Title 2, C.C.R., section 56 et. seq.) (Hearings held on September 20, 2005 and October 3, 2005)

NO ACTION

#### 14. HEARING

Proposed Revisions to Discovery Regulations in Evidentiary Hearings Regulations (Title 2, C.C.R., section 57 et. seq.) (Hearings held on September 20, 2005 and October 3, 2005)

**NO ACTION** 

# **NOTICE OF GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 18671.1 RESOLUTION**

Since Government Code section 18671.1 requires that cases pending before State

Personnel Board Administrative Law Judges (ALJ's) be completed within six months or no
later than 90 days after submission of a case, whichever is first, absent the publication of
substantial reasons for needing an additional 45 days, the Board hereby publishes its
substantial reasons for the need for the 45-day extension for some of the cases now
pending before it for decision.

An additional 45 days may be required in cases that require multiple days of hearings, that have been delayed by unusual circumstances, or that involve any delay generated by either party (including, but not limited to, submission of written briefs, requests for settlement conferences, continuances, discovery disputes, pre-hearing motions). In such cases, six months may be inadequate for the ALJ to hear the entire case, prepare a proposed decision containing the detailed factual and legal analysis required by law, and for the State Personnel Board to review the decision and adopt, modify or reject the proposed decision within the time limitations of the statute.

Therefore, at its next meeting, the Board will issue the attached resolution extending the time limitation by 45 days for all cases that meet the above criteria, and that have been before the Board for less than six months as of the date of the Board meeting.

#### **GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 18671.1 RESOLUTION**

WHEREAS, Section 18671.1 provides that, absent waiver by the appellant, the time period in which the Board must render its decision on a petition pending before it shall not exceed six months from the date the petition was filed or 90 days from the date of submission; and

WHEREAS, Section 18671.1 also provides for an extension of the time limitations by 45 additional days if the Board publishes substantial reasons for the need for the extension in its calendar prior to the conclusion of the six-month period; and

WHEREAS, the Agenda for the instant Board meeting included an item titled "Notice of Government Code section 18671.1 Resolution" which sets forth substantial reasons for utilizing that 45-day extension to extend the time to decide particular cases pending before the Board;

**WHEREAS**, there are currently pending before the Board cases that have required multiple days of hearing and/or that have been delayed by unusual circumstances or by acts or omissions of the parties themselves;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the time limitations set forth in Government Code section 18671.1 are hereby extended an additional 45 days for all cases that have required multiple days of hearing or that have been delayed by acts or omissions of the parties or by unusual circumstances and that have been pending before the Board for less than six months as of the date this resolution is adopted.

\* \* \* \* \*

# Minutes – Page 26 October 18, 2005

I hereby certify that the State Personnel Board made and adopted the preceding resolution at its meeting on October 18, 2005.

VOTE: Elkins, Tom, Harrigan – Aye

FLOYD D. SHIMOMURA

**Executive Officer** 

California State Personnel Board